COMMON CAUSE

Dedicated to Public Causes Since 1980

Common Cause House, 5, Institutional Area, Nelson Mandela Road, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi –110 070. Phone: 26131313 www.commoncause.in; e-mail: commoncauseindia@gmail.com; contact@commoncause.in

Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, 2025 Government of India, New Delhi February 14,

Recommendations for Draft Digital Personal Data Protection Rules (DPDP) 2025

General Comments

- 1. The draft Digital Personal Data Protection (DPDP) Rules 2025 need to address a pressing concern that has been voiced across India by civil society organizations the amendment to section 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information (RTI) Act. Section 44(3) of the DPDP Act (2023), amending section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, cripples the RTI Act by immensely expanding the purview of the exceptions granted by Section 8. No piece of personal information can now be divulged, due to the current amendment. The RTI Act has played a paramount role in the fight against corruption. It has also improved governance, empowered citizens, and strengthened participatory democracy. In our view, it is an important step towards building better transparency and accountability. We strongly recommend a change to Section 44(3) of the DPDP Act (2023), but since such recommendation is not under the ambit of the DPDP Rules 2025, we recommend that the Rules provide clarity on Section 44(3) by establishing that personal information should be disclosed when such disclosure concerns any public activity or interest.
- **2.** The feedback/comments submitted by stakeholders for the Rules should be made publicly available.
- **3.** The Rules need to overall meet the constitutional requirements outlined in *K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India* (2017).
- **4.** Terms such as "sovereignty and integrity of India" and "security of the state" are subject to wide interpretation. The use of such vague terms can allow

infringements on the right to privacy as well as allow prejudicial targeting of people perceived by the government in power as dissenters or critical of the government. Specific language should be used, detailing the circumstances in which mandatory disclosure of information by Data Fiduciaries is warranted, and the government be exempted from the provisions of DPDPA 2023.

- 5. Though included in our specific recommendations, we want to expressly point out the highly concerning Fourth Schedule of the DPDP Rules 2025, which lays out exceptions for the processing of children data. The liberty, privacy, and autonomy of children and their parents are impacted here and the adverse effects stemming from such exceptions traverse the digital realm and pour into the physical world. There is potential for the excessive surveillance of children and institutions have been granted the power to act on the "best interests" of the child without permission or consent from her parents. A misalignment regarding the "best interests" of the child between institutions and parents can cause harm to the physical and mental health of a child, create tension between institutions and parents, and disrupt harmony amongst everyone involved.
- Another source of major concern is the independence of the Data Protection Board. Under the current Rules, the Board would be under the thumb of the Central Government, affecting the enforcement of the DPDP Act and the impartiality of the Board.
- 7. Our more specific recommendations are as follows:

Specific Recommendations

Rule/S chedul e Numb er	Rule/Sch edule Title	Content of Rule/Sched ule Under Scrutiny	Recommendations	Comments
Rule 3	Notice given by Data Fiduciary to Data Principal	Section (b)	New sub-section. "(iii) with which parties such personal data is being shared with"	When providing consent, the Data Principal should be informed on whom her data is being shared with. This clause exists in the Canadian PIPEDA. Personal data is often shared with third-party organizations by the Data Fiduciary for the provision of services.
Rule 3	Notice given by Data Fiduciary to Data Principal	Section (b)(ii): "the specified purpose of"	Modify the language. Change "the specified purpose of" to "the specified purpose(s) of".	Data Fiduciaries can have more than one purpose for collecting and processing personal data. The current language can create a loophole where Data Fiduciaries can pick and choose which purpose they want to inform the Data Principal of, or use overly broad language to bring numerous purposes under a single umbrella.
Rule 7	Intimation of personal data breach	Section (1)(a)	Include "an itemized list of personal data that was breached or leaked"	The current phrasing does not necessitate informing the Data Principal precisely which personal data of hers was breached or leaked. The Data Principal must know the particulars of her

Rule/S chedul e Numb er	Rule/Sch edule Title	Content of Rule/Sched ule Under Scrutiny	Recommendations	Comments
				personal data that was breached or leaked.
Rule 8	Time Period for specified purpose to be deemed as no longer being served	Section (2): "unless she logs into her user account or exercises her rights in relation to the processing of such personal data."	(i) Remove "unless she logs into her user account." (ii) Remove "exercises her rights in relation to the processing of such personal data" (iii) Specify the timeline for when the user should log into her user account, or exercise her rights in relation to the processing of such personal data. (iv) Apply rule to all personal data processing	(i) Under the current phrasing, a Data Principal logging into her user account is accepted as reason enough to not provide her with the notice of data erasure and extends the data retention period. A user logging into her account does not necessitate that she is in need of the Data Fiduciary's services (accessing account details, downloading invoices, etc.). Furthermore, as laid out in the Third Schedule, enabling access to her personal account is not a purpose for the processing of personal data, thereby the current phrase contradicting the Third Schedule. (ii) A user exercising her rights is an overly broad term to be included here. For instance, a user exercising her right to nominate another individual in the event of her death holds no grounds to preclude her from receiving a data erasure notice. (iii) The section is ambiguous on when the
				ambiguous on when the

Rule/S chedul e Numb er	Rule/Sch edule Title	Content of Rule/Sched ule Under Scrutiny	Recommendations	Comments
				users' actions preclude them from receiving a data erasure notice. Does logging into her account 1 year before the data erasure time period allow the Data Fiduciary to not issue a notice? Same applies to exercising her rights.
				(iv) A three-year period has been prescribed, after which the specified purpose would be deemed to be no longer being served (after which personal data must be erased) for the following entities:
				e-commerce entity with not less than two crore users in India;
				 online gaming intermediary with not less than 50 lakh users in India; and
				social media intermediary with not less than two crore users in India.
				The three-year time has been prescribed only for the above stated entities. It does not extend to regulated entities (banks, non-banks, payment service providers, asset

Rule/S chedul e Numb er	Rule/Sch edule Title	Content of Rule/Sched ule Under Scrutiny	Recommendations	Comments
				management companies and other intermediaries) and would require further clarity from MeitY for data retention periods applicable to them.
Rule 10	Verifiable consent for processin g of personal data of child or of person with disability who has lawful guardian	Section (1) and Section (2)	Provide clarity on what happens to parents'/lawful guardian's data once verification is complete. Either the provided data must be deleted post-verification or must only be made accessible to the Data Protection Officer post-verification, until the child turns 18 years of age. This measure will prevent abuse of such data by the Data Fiduciary.	The current rule does not provide direction on what is to be done with the personal data collected from a parent/lawful guardian. This data will be sensitive in nature as it is a government-issued ID/document and must be protected with utmost security and not utilized for any other purposes.
Rule 12	Additional obligation s of Significan t Data Fiduciary	Section (1)	Set standards for what constitutes a Data Protection Impact Assessment. These can include: (i) Segregation of data based on sensitivity. (ii) Amount of data held by the Data Fiduciary. (iii) Necessity and proportionality of collected data. (iv) Purposes of processing.	The current Rule has no guidelines on what standards and information needs to be present in the Data Protection Impact Assessment. Leaving the DPIA to the Significant Data Fiduciary's discretion will result in assessments of variable depth and quality being submitted to the Board. The Board must release a template for the DPIA and require the SDFs to follow such template to ensure consistency and transparency.

Rule/S chedul e Numb er	Rule/Sch edule Title	Content of Rule/Sched ule Under Scrutiny	Recommendations	Comments
			(v) Measures taken to mitigate risk of a data breach and subsequent evaluation of those measures against industry standards as well as best practices. (vi) Consultations with privacy, data security, and technology experts.	
Rule 12	Additional obligation s of Significan t Data Fiduciary (SDF)	Section (3)	(i) Replace "not likely" with "do not" (ii) Replace "rights of Data Principals" with "rights of Data Principals or cause harm to a person." (iii) Include the definition of "harm" under this section.	(i) Using the phrase "not likely" is vague and open to interpretation. Even when the algorithmic software can pose risks to the rights of Data Principals, they can still be used without any modifications. (ii) Significant Data Fiduciaries must also ensure that their algorithmic software do not cause harm to the Data Principals. Harm is not covered under the Rights of the Data Principals as outlined in Chapter 3 of the Act. As seen in cases of Facebook conducting emotional surveillance and present studies on TikTok's algorithmic software adversely impacting adolescent and young adults' mental health and body image, harms do arise out of algorithmic software and

Rule/S chedul e Numb er	Rule/Sch edule Title	Content of Rule/Sched ule Under Scrutiny	Recommendations	Comments
				Data Principals must be protected against such harm.
				(iii) A detailed definition and constituents of harm can be found in the Canadian PIPEDA and Recital 75 of the GDPR.
				(iv) Corresponding DPDP Act Section (S. 10(1) and 10(2)): Lays down the indicative criteria basis which the Central Government may notify any data fiduciary or a class of data fiduciaries as SDFs, including:
				 the volume and sensitivity of personal data processed; risk to the rights of Data Principal;
				 potential impact on the sovereignty and integrity of India;
				 risk to electoral democracy;
				 security of the State; and
				public order.
				It also grants power to the Central Government to prescribe additional obligations for SDFs.

Rule/S chedul e Numb er	Rule/Sch edule Title	Content of Rule/Sched ule Under Scrutiny	Recommendations	Comments
				 'SDFs have not yet been notified by the Central Government. The Draft Rules give the Central Government power to specify the nature of personal data that would have to be localised in India – an absolute bar on transfer outside India. This seems to be a departure from the DPDP Act to not impose a data sovereignty rule.
Rule 13	Rights of Data Principals	Section (1)	Include subsection "(c) rights of the Data Principals"	Data Principals should be informed of what rights they can exercise under the Act. Being informed of their rights helps them make an informed decision that suit the purpose of exercising their rights.
Rule 13	Rights of Data Principals	Section (3)	(i) Expand on what are "appropriate technical and organizational measures."(ii) Fix a time period for responding to grievances. An appropriate time for first response to a	(i) This section is incredibly vague, provides no direction to Data Fiduciaries/Consent Managers on how to address grievances, and leaves the time period for grievance redressal up to the discretion of the Data

Rule/S chedul e Numb er	Rule/Sch edule Title	Content of Rule/Sched ule Under Scrutiny	Recommendations	Comments
			grievance would be within 72 hours. An appropriate time period for resolving the grievance will be 14 days. If the redressal requires extensive measures to be taken by the Data Fiduciary, the period, including the 14 days, can be extended to 30-45 days, with prior approval by the Board. After the elapse of the grievance redressal period, the Data Principal can approach the Board for redressal.	Fiduciary/Consent Manager. (ii) A timeline should be established for grievance redressal as the current Rule does not establish one. The absence of such a timeline can lead to Data Fiduciaries not acting on grievances in a timely manner, Data Principals not having their rights respected, and more importantly cause harms to the Data Principal.
Rule 16	Appointm ent of Chairpers on and other Members	Section (1) Section (2) Section (3)	Eliminate the involvement of the Cabinet Secretary of the India in the Search-cum-selection committee. The Search-cum-Selection committee could consist of experts specializing in privacy, information technology, law, and business administration, headed by the Secretary of the Department of Legal Affairs, Secretary of the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology and the Attorney General of India. The Search-cum-Selection	Stemming from a criticism of the Act itself, the independence of the Board is highly questionable owing to the overarching involvement of the Central Government in the constitution of the Board. The Board will be under the thumb of the Central Government, affecting its core operations and enforcement of the Act, especially when it concerns the State and its instrumentalities. These recommendations take after precedents in the EU, UK, Canada, and Australia whose